

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary**Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)****Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)**

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Date of Submission: | 9/10/2007 |
| 2. Agency: | Department of Energy |
| 3. Bureau: | Departmental Administration |
| 4. Name of this Capital Asset: | Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program |
| 5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.) | 019-60-02-00-01-5000-00 |
| 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) | Mixed Life Cycle |
| 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? | FY2004 |
| 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap: | |
| <p>The Consolidated Infrastructure investment is made up of a portfolio of over 380 infrastructure sub-investments that support DOE's business and mission processes, DOE strategic theme 5 Management Excellence Goal 5.3 Infrastructure, DOE's IT Vision, IT A76, and the Infrastructure Optimization (IOI) Line of Business (LOB). To accomplish this, DOE's infrastructure provides in six areas: 1. Telecommunication and Networks - TN (DATA), 2. Office Automation - OA, 3. Telephony - TP (Voice), 4. Cyber Security - (CS), 5. Application Hosting Environment - (AHE), and 6. Enterprise Collaboration - (EC). The Department of Energy relies on and manages the Information Technology services provided through these infrastructure investments to enhance Mission Support and operating efficiencies, and meet required service levels. DOE's IT Vision aims to affect governance and processes in order to provide access to modern, reliable, and secure IT infrastructure and systems to support and enhance DOE's mission in the 21st century. Our IT strategic goals are based on three basic requirements: simple access, effective management, and strengthened security. This Consolidated Infrastructure investment supports the President's Management Agenda e-Gov goal by supplying digital technologies to transform government operations in order to improve effectiveness, efficiency, and service delivery. Consolidated Infrastructure is the foundation required for DOE to perform basic eGov business functions. TN and TP address the network / communications services both internal and external. OA addresses the client services which are associated with seat management. CS addresses the services required to maintain infrastructure integrity. EC integrates people and processes across the infrastructure. The infrastructure supports about 15,000 users via DOE IT A76 contract and over 90,000 users located in DOE filed and site locations.</p> | |
| 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? | Yes |
| a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? | 8/31/2007 |
| 10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? | Yes |
| 11. Contact information of Project Manager? | |
| Name | Hill, Denise |
| Phone Number | 202-586-5848 |
| Email | denise.hill@hq.doe.gov |
| a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager? | TBD |
| 12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project? | Yes |
| a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? | Yes |
| b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) | No |

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? Yes

If "yes," check all that apply:

Expanded E-Government
Competitive Sourcing

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

Supports e-Gov by consolidating resources under common standards and operating environments: maximizes utilization of resources, simplifies-unifies redundant activities across the agency, and improves accessibility to information and services. Supports Competitive Sourcing through the MEO awarded from the recent IT A76 study. Directly supports the IT Infrastructure (IOI) LOB managed by GSA, identifying opportunities for collaboration and cost savings, plus stronger performance monitoring.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.) No

a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review? No

b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?

c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

15. Is this investment for information technology? Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Level 3

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) (1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23) No

19. Is this a financial management system? No

a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?

1. If "yes," which compliance area:

2. If "no," what does it address?

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware	25
Software	24
Services	51
Other	0

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? No

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name Kolb, Ingrid

Phone Number 202-586-2550
 Title DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT
 E-mail ingrid.kolb@hq.doe.gov

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? Yes

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? No

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)									
(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2007	CY 2008	BY 2009	BY+1 2010	BY+2 2011	BY+3 2012	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	9.944254	0.630799	0.537949	2.949023					
Acquisition:	104.135678	54.472201	44.591051	44.267877					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	114.079932	55.103000	45.129000	47.216900					
Operations & Maintenance:	1875.930457	1136.138229	1107.366354	1127.495275					
TOTAL:	1990.010389	1191.241229	1152.495354	1174.712175					
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	7.38962	2.385308	2.442691	2.808681					
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	34	11	10	10					

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? No

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:
 The primary driver for changes from the BY09 Summary of Spending result from the recently completed IT A76 study, in which a federal/contractor team was selected as the MEO. As the new MEO is implemented, a number of key decisions will be made regarding governance processes and milestones; these decisions will likely result in additional changes for next year's Summary of Spending. Several results of the IT A76 process are as follows: The initial 172 Federal FTE baseline (not employees) was based on a "snapshot" in time (2002); the federal FTE portion of the MEO will be decreased, gradually, by approximately 39% over 18 months. The snapshot baseline for contractor personnel was 1000; the contractor portion of the MEO is estimated at a reduction of 25-38% over 18 months. The 22-month transition period represents an internal timeline for implementation of the proposed technology solution and transition of DOE IT contracts in support of a consolidated infrastructure. It is during this period of transition that two Enterprise Service Centers (East and West) are to be established to support consolidation of infrastructure services across IT A76 serving DOE Headquarters and Field Offices.
 In addition continued emphasis on the Revitalization of Cyber Security and in particular the full implementation of Defense in Depth and Asset Management.

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
DE-AC36-99GO10337 National Renewable Energy Laboratory Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS FIXED FEE	Yes	11/9/1998	11/9/1998	11/8/2008	2650.967099	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Scott, Steven L	303-275-4724 / steve.scott@go.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC06-04RL14383 Richland Operations Office (RL), Richland, Washington Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS AWARD FEE	Yes	1/6/2004	1/6/2004	9/30/2013	79.968477	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Stimmel, Richard A	509-376-4724 / Richard_A_Stimmel@rl.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC27-05RV14548 Hanford Site / Richland, Washington Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract	COST PLUS INCENTIVE FEE	Yes	1/3/2005	1/3/2005	1/3/2010	58.818568	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Reid, Cloette B	509-373-6140 / cloette_b_reid@orp.doe.gov	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
value is reported																
DE-AC27-99RL14047 Hanford Site / Richland, Washington Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS INCENTIVE FEE	Yes	9/30/1999	9/30/1999	9/30/2008	5466.18475	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Reid, Cloette B	509-373-6140 / cloette_b_reid@orp.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC27-01RV14136 Hanford Site / Richland, Washington Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS INCENTIVE FEE	Yes	12/11/2000	12/11/2000	7/15/2011	11054.357071	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Williams, Thomas	509-373-6140 / Thomas_Williams@orp.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC06-96RL13200 Richland Operations Office (RL), Richland, Washington Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract	COST PLUS INCENTIVE FEE	Yes	8/15/1996	8/15/1996	9/30/2008	9061.314466	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Sieracki, Sally	509-376-8948 / Sally_A_Sieracki@rl.gov	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
value is reported																
DE-AC07-05ID14516 Idaho Cleanup Project Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	Cost-Plus-Incentive-Fee (CPIF)	Yes	5/1/2005	5/1/2005	9/30/2012	2917.512546	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Bauer, Wendy	208-526-2808 / bauerwl@id.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AT30-07CC00009 Miamisburg Closure Project Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS FIXED FEE	Yes	10/12/2006	10/12/2006	9/20/2007	25.885308	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Franklin, Derrick	513-246-0103 / derrick.franklin@emcbc.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC30-06EW05001 PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is	COST PLUS INCENTIVE FEE	Yes	12/27/2005	12/27/2005	9/30/2009	191.630663	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Dulatt, Marjorie A	859-219-4057 / margie.dulatt@lex.doe.gov	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
reported																
DE-AC24-05OH20178 PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS AWARD FEE	Yes	3/16/2005	3/16/2005	3/15/2010	39.937807	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Dulatt, Marjorie A	859-219-4057 / margie.dulatt@lex.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC24-05OH20193 Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS AWARD FEE	Yes	3/16/2005	3/16/2005	3/15/2010	51.856997	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Bell, R J	859-219-4055 / rj.bell@lex.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC24-05OH20192 Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract	COST PLUS INCENTIVE FEE	Yes	1/10/2005	1/10/2005	9/30/2009	158.961421	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Bell, R J	859-219-4055 / rj.bell@lex.doe.gov	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
value is reported																
DE-AC06-04RL14600 Richland Operations Office (RL), Richland, Washington Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS INCENTIVE FEE	Yes	9/27/2004	9/27/2004	9/30/2011	234.520776	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Wirrkala, Andrew H	509-373-7835 / Andrew_H_Wirrkala@rl.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC06-05RL14655 Richland Operations Office (RL), Richland, Washington Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS INCENTIVE FEE	Yes	3/23/2005	3/23/2005	9/30/2015	1577.946512	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Sedgwick, Stacie L	509-372-0985 / stacie_l_sedgwick@rl.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC09-96SR18500 Savannah River National Laboratory (SRNL) Management and Operations	COST PLUS AWARD FEE	Yes	8/6/1996	8/6/1996	6/5/2007	15627.567516	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Armstrong, Jeffrey C	803-952-9345 / Craig.Armstrong@Srs.Gov	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported																
DE-AC24-01OH20115 The Fernald Closure Project / Harrison, OH Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS INCENTIVE FEE	Yes	11/20/2000	11/20/2000	12/31/2007	2720	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Jones, Timothy L	513-246-0563 / tim.jones@emcbc.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC29-01AL66444 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS AWARD FEE	Yes	12/14/2000	12/14/2000	9/30/2010	1399.999999	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Colt, Stanely	/ stanley.colt@wipp.ws	Level 3	
DE-AC24-81NE44139 WEST VALLEY Demonstration PROJECT / West Valley, New	COST PLUS AWARD FEE	Yes	8/24/1981	8/24/1981	5/8/2007	2046.861148	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Scouten, Mary Jane	716-942-4179 / maryjane.scouten@wv.doe.gov	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
York Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported																
DE-AC05-98OR22700 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT (EM) MANAGEMENT AND INTEGRATION (M&I) CONTRACT Oak Ridge, Tennessee Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS AWARD FEE	Yes	12/18/1997	12/18/1997	9/30/2008	4628.222017	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Vogel, Shirley	/ vogelsc@oro.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC26-04NT41820 National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) services contract includes IT costs, total contract value is	Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF)	Yes	9/30/2004	9/30/2004	9/29/2009	26.751418	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Jarr, Raymond R	304-285-4088 / RJARR@NETL.DOE.GOV	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
reported																
DE-AC96-03PO92207 Strategic Petroleum Reserve Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF)	Yes	1/28/2003	1/28/2003	3/31/2008	592.059091	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Waggoner, M G	504-734-4444 / Michael.Waggoner@spr.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC01-02GJ79491 Legacy Management Morgantown, WV and Grand Junction, CO contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	Cost Plus Award Fee	Yes	6/24/1905	6/24/2005	9/30/2007	6.093782	No	Yes	Yes	ESPC	Yes	Yes	Freeman, Laura	304-285-4079 / laura.freeman@netl.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC07-05ID14517 Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	M&O	Yes	2/1/2005	2/1/2005	9/30/2015	4800	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Adams, Mike	208-526-5277 / ADAMSML@I.D.DOE.GOV	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
DE-AC04-01AL66850 NNSA Kansas City Plant Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	Cost Plus Award Fee	Yes	1/1/2001	1/1/2001	9/30/2007	3119.591334	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Tennant II, Ralph B	816-997-3249 / ralph.tennant@nnsa.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC03-43SF00048 Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory / Livermore, CA Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST NO FEE	Yes	1/1/2003	1/1/2003	9/30/2007	34460.459738	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Williamson, Homer	925-424-2986 / homer.williamson@oak.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC52-06NA25946 NNSA Nevada Test Site Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	OTHER (NONE OF THE TPAW CODES APPLY)	Yes	3/28/2006	3/28/2006	9/30/2011	2000	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Williams, Juan D	505-845-5865 / JDWILLIAMS@DOEAL.GOV	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
DE-AC04-00AL66620 Pantex Plant Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	Cost Plus Incentive Fee	Yes	1/24/2000	1/24/2000	9/30/2007	2960.366105	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	McCusker, Marc	806-477-6699 / MmcCuske@pantex.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC04-94AL85000 Argonne National Laboratory / Argonne, IL Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	Cost Plus Award Fee	Yes	10/15/1993	10/15/1993	9/30/2009	23550.495685	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Wood, Margaret S	505-845-5511 / mwood@doe.al.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC05-00OR22800 Y-12 National Security Complex Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	FIXED PRICE AWARD FEE	Yes	8/31/2000	8/31/2000	9/30/2010	13340.117639	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Shears, Karen S	865-241-6411 / ShearsKS@oro.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC05-06OR23100	Cost Plus Award Fee	Yes	12/21/2005	12/21/2005	12/31/2010	746.872723	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Jackson, Barbara J	865-576-0976 /	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
Oak Ridge Institute for Science & Education (ORISE) / Oak Ridge, Tennessee Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported														jacksonbj@oro.doe.gov		
DE-AC02-07CH11358 Ames Laboratory / Ames, Iowa Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	Cost Plus Award Fee	Yes	12/4/2006	12/4/2006	12/31/2011	32.455737	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Martinez, Marlene E	630-252-2080 / Marlene.Martinez@ch.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC02-06CH11357 Argonne National Laboratory / Argonne, IL Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	OTHER (NONE OF THE TPAW CODES APPLY)	Yes	7/31/2006	7/31/2006	9/30/2011	2500	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Simpson, Rory	630-252-2127 / rory.simpson@ch.doe.gov	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
DE-AC02-98CH10886 Brookhaven National Laboratory / Upton, NY Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS FIXED FEE	Yes	1/5/1998	1/5/1998	1/4/2008	4353.017674	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Gordon, Robert P	631-344-3346 / rgordon@bnl.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC02-07CH11359 Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory / Batavia, IL Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS INCENTIVE FEE	Yes	11/1/2006	11/1/2006	12/31/2011	308.351883	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Wilson, Dennis L	630-840-5441 / dennis.wilson@ch.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC05-07OR23027 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES FOR DOE OAK RIDGE SITE CONTRACTORS	TIME AND MATERIALS	Yes	2/2/2007	2/2/2007	3/31/2010	85.051218	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Albaugh, Jill	865-576-0794 / albaughjy@oro.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC02-05CH11231	COST PLUS INCENTIVE	Yes	4/19/2005	4/19/2005	5/31/2010	1407.385251	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Marshall, Charles	510-486-5184 /	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (Berkeley Lab) / Berkeley, CA Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	FEE													cwmarshall@lbl.gov		
DE-AC05-00OR22725 Oak Ridge National Laboratory / Oak Ridge, Tennessee Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST PLUS INCENTIVE FEE	Yes	10/18/1999	10/18/1999	3/31/2010	8419.762197	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Million, Mark	865-576-7814 / millionma@oro.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC05-76RL01830 Hanford Environmental Health Foundation Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract	COST PLUS AWARD FEE	Yes	12/30/2002	12/30/2002	9/30/2007	5609.196143	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Dawson, Ronnie L	509-376-8853 / Ronnie_L_Dawson@RL.Gov	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
value is reported																
DE-AC02-76CHO3073 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST NO FEE	Yes	1/1/1976	1/1/1976	9/30/2007	5943.782653	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Faul, Jerry W	609-243-3706 / jfaul@pppl.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC02-76-SF00515 Stanford Linear Accelerator Center / Menlo Park, CA Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	COST NO FEE	Yes	1/25/1981	1/25/1981	9/30/2007	6736.067846	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	McClelland, Georgia M	650-926-8608 / georgia.mcclelland@sso.science.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC05-06OR23177 Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility / Newport News, VA Management	COST PLUS AWARD FEE	Yes	4/14/2006	4/14/2006	5/31/2011	500	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Skinner, James W	757-269-7143 / skinner@jlab.org	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported																
DE-AD11-05PN38286 MICROSOFT SOFTWARE AND MAINTENANCE (MICROSOFT /DOE ENTERPRISE AGREEMENT)	FIRM FIXED PRICE	Yes	6/23/2005	6/23/2005	6/30/2010	16.689647	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Thornton, Patrick	202-287-1532 / Patrick.Thornton@pr.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AD01-03IM00028 ORACLE Enterprise Licensing	FIRM FIXED PRICE	Yes	5/15/2003	5/15/2003	5/29/2008	8.490951	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Thornton, Patrick	202-287-1532 / Patrick.Thornton@pr.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AM01-06IM00054 DOE Headquarters and IT A76 locations - TEAM DOE, a partnership of the DOE workforce and Energy Enterprise Solutions, Inc. (EES).	TIME AND MATERIALS	Yes	11/18/2005	11/18/2005	4/4/2013	207.066029	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Thornton, Patrick	202-287-1532 / Patrick.Thornton@pr.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AD01-07IM00174 Lexis/Nexis DOE Enterprise	FIRM FIXED PRICE	Yes	6/15/2003	6/15/2003	9/30/2012	0.662078	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Thornton, Patrick	202-287-1532 / Patrick.Thornton@pr.doe.gov	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
Agreement																
DE-AM01-01SO30220 Adobe Enterprise Agreement through ASRC	FIRM FIXED PRICE	Yes	9/21/2001	9/21/2001	9/30/2009	29.8	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Thornton, Patrick	202-287-1532 / Patrick.Thornton@pr.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AB01-07IM00187 Adobe DOE Enterprise Agreement	FIRM FIXED PRICE	Yes	6/8/2007	6/8/2007	4/4/2013	50	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Thornton, Patrick	202-287-1532 / Patrick.Thornton@pr.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AD01-05IM00123 ENTERPRISE LICENSE AGREEMENT FOR AUTONOMY SOFTWARE; LEASE TO OWN PLAN.	FIRM FIXED PRICE	Yes	9/7/2005	9/7/2005	5/31/2008	1.192714	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Thornton, Patrick	202-287-1532 / Patrick.Thornton@pr.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC52-06NA25396 Los Alamos National Laboratory / Los Alamos, NM Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	Cost-Reimbursement Management and Operating type contract that includes Fixed Fees and a Performance Incentive Fee for the Basic Term of the Contract and the Award Term earned periods.	Yes	12/21/2005	6/1/2006	9/30/2013	13436.074667	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Lovato, Anthony L	505-665-4639 / alovato@doeal.gov	Level 3	
TF-00-T-07-SB-A-0013	FIRM FIXED PRICE	Yes	7/20/2007	7/20/2007	7/20/2012	30	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Hargrove, Michael	703-306-7701 /	Level 2	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
SmartBUY agreements are GSA Multiple Award Schedule BPAs. Note: CO has unlimited warrant & will complete all classwork for Level 3 by the end of the year														Michael.Hargrove@gsa.gov		
DE-AB01-07IM00180 Red Hat Software Licensing and Maintenance Support	FIRM FIXED PRICE	Yes	6/22/2007	6/22/2007	6/20/2012	45	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Thornton, Patrick	202-287-1532 / Patrick.Thornton@pr.doe.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC01-04IM00091 ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT AND GENERAL MANAGEMENT CONSULTING SERVICES SMALL BUSINESS 8(a) Firm Small Disadvantaged Business	TIME AND MATERIALS	Yes	9/24/2004	10/1/2004	9/30/2007	2.572	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Thornton, Patrick	202-287-1532 / Patrick.Thornton@pr.doe.gov	Level 3	
GS00T07NS D0007, GS00T07NS	FIRM FIXED PRICE	Yes	3/29/2007	3/29/2007	3/31/2017	30	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Braun, Jack	703-306-6423 / jack.braun@	Level 3	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
D0008, GS00T07NS D0002 Network Universal -- AT&T, MCI/Verizon Business, Qwest GSA/FTS Note: CO has unlimited warrant & completed all classwork														gsa.gov		
DE-AC75-04SW54229	Fixed Price, Labor Hour, Performance Based Services, Multi-Year	Yes	10/2/2006	10/2/2006	11/30/2008	10.084106	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Bridges, Gary	918-595-6671 / Gary.Bridges@swpa.gov	Level 3	
DE-AC56-99CH10888 Savannah River Site Office MOX Services Management and Operations contract includes IT costs, total contract value is reported	Cost Plus Fixed Fee	Yes	3/23/1999	3/31/2010	3/31/2010	130	No	No	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	McCusker, Daniel W	803-952-5921 / daniel.mccusker@srs.gov	Level 3	

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

All the contracts supporting this consolidated investment include a requirement that EVM be performed if there is significant IT DME activity. A large majority of the IOAT contracts are Operations and Management (over 90% of the spending) therefore, most of the contracts do not currently have EVM systems. DOE's Operational Analysis focuses on service level management, such as those embedded in the IT Infrastructure Library (ITIL), as the predominant means of performance measurement for O&M IT infrastructure services. There are over 40 M&O contracts providing IT Infrastructure services as part of the delivered services. Planning and analysis to support the operation of an EPMO for IOAT has been an incremental tasking based on specific analysis products to be delivered. In addition, the IT A76 acquisition process is being applied across DOE Headquarters and Field Offices to support DOE federal operations. The baseline of services for IT A76 will then be applied as performance standards for use in performance measurement of M&O infrastructure services. It is anticipated that the IOI LOB initiative will be establishing performance levels for delivery of IT Infrastructure services. As these IOI performance standards are defined for performance measurement reporting the baseline of services by the DOE IT Infrastructure Service Lines will be synchronized to provide the means for measuring service improvements as the maturity of the DOE IT Infrastructure advances. Thus as the IOI LOB is developing a cross agency acquisition plan and DOE as a member of the IOI task force and in support of the IOI PPMO will build a synchronized plan consistent with IT A76 performance measurement.

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance? Yes

a. Explain why:

Consolidated IOAT conforms to Section 508 on contracts containing COTS products and in support of service delivery operations. Infrastructure Services Operations assure assistive technology solutions are provided to eliminate barriers for people with disabilities. Infrastructure supports the use of Web services accessibility tools and resources are provided to assure compliance.

4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? Yes

a. If "yes," what is the date?

2/16/2007

b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2005	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	Information Management	Network User Base Consolidation	DOE has consolidation efforts ongoing in NNSA, EM, SC, IM, and NE	Achieve consolidation initiatives to include 80% of DOE program offices.	DOE has included 100% of the program offices in DOE IOAT consolidation efforts.
2006	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Access	Level of Service	DOE's IOA&T service lines have not reached any of the DOE Critical Decision Point as described in DOE	Achieve baseline performance approval for 50% of the service lines by FY08	An integrated baseline is being developed and synchronized with IT A76 and IOI PPMO timetables for

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.					413.3		establishing service level standards.
2007	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Access	Level Of Service	Baseline Level of Service is 99.5%.	Maintain 99.9% uptime.	HQ Network Infrastructure 99.98% Internet Service 99.99% DOEnet Circuits 99.96%
2007	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	IT Infrastructure Maintenance	Service Level Management Subscriber Base	Managed Services (DOE COE) has less than 5,000 subscribers	Support 6,000 managed subscribers	Currently Managing 5060 Workstations in August of 2007
2007	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Innovation and Improvement	Server Utilization Levels	Benchmark studies of AHE and Field Server Utilization Levels will establish percentage utilization	Improve Utilization through server consolidation by 5%	500 virtual server systems increased utilization by 6.7%
2007	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Technology	Efficiency	Response Time	Service Incident Response Time	Current Service Levels Target 4 hour response	Reduce the resolution time by 15 minutes	97.6% Met or Exceeded target response service levels, Average Response Time 3 hours 39 minutes - more than 20 minutes under target
2008	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Access				
2008	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	IT Infrastructure Maintenance				
2008	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Innovation and Improvement				

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.							
2008	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Technology	Efficiency	Response Time				
2009	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Access				
2009	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	IT Infrastructure Maintenance				
2009	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance				
2009	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Innovation and Improvement				
2009	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Technology	Efficiency	Response Time				
2010	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Access				

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	a safe and secure workplace.							
2010	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	IT Infrastructure Maintenance				
2010	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Innovation and Improvement				
2010	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Technology	Efficiency	Response Time				
2011	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Access				
2011	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	IT Infrastructure Maintenance				
2011	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and secure workplace.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Innovation and Improvement				
2011	GOAL 5.4 Infrastructure - Build, modernize, and maintain DOE facilities and infrastructure to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe and	Technology	Efficiency	Response Time				

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	secure workplace.							

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment:
 - a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year:
2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment.

3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s):			
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
Active Directory Services							
Ames Admin Enclave							
Ames Business Sensitive Enclave							
Ames Perimeter Enclave							
ANL Business System							
ANL General Computing Enclave							
ANL Infrastructure							
ANL Visitor Zone							

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
BNL Admin Enclave							
BNL Network Infrastructure							
BNL Public Enclave							
BWXT Cyber Security Program Plan (including the Unclassified Services Network)							
CBC-Cincinnati							
CBC-Springdale							
CBFO							
CH ISC Core							
Database Administration (Oracle & SQL Server)							
DOECOE							
DOE-ID LAN							
DOEnet							
ETTP-BS							
FE Heating Oil							
FE/NETL Intranet							
FE/RMOTC GSS							
FE/SPRO GSS							
FE/SPRO Classified							
FE/SPRO DCS							
Fermi General Computing Enclave							
FEWEB							
Golden IT environment							
Headquarters AHE - AIX							
Headquarters AHE - Enterprise Server -IBM Mainframe							
Headquarters AHE - HP MPE							
Headquarters AHE - Linux							
Headquarters AHE - Solaris							
Headquarters AHE - Windows							
Headquarters Applix							
Headquarters Email							
Headquarters ePortal							
INL Business System Enclave							
INL DMZ							
INL Mission System Enclave							
LAPLAND Core Server System							
LBNL Research & Operations Enclave							

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
LLNL Unclassified Site System							
LM GSS 01							
NAS							
National Renewable Energy Laboratory							
NE-DM							
OR ISC IRMD Moderate Enclave							
Oracle							
ORAU Baseline Enclave							
ORAU Moderate Enclave							
ORNL Administrative Enclave							
ORNL Infrastructure/Business Enclave							
ORNL Open Public							
OSTI Unclassified Automated Information System (UAIS) Enclave.							
PKI							
PNNL Enterprise Services Enclave							
PNNL Extranet Enclave							
PNNL Visitor/Wireless Enclave							
PPPL General Support Enclave							
PPPO							
Red Dot Google (Energy.Gov)							
RL-Hanford							
RL-RCP							
SC-HQ General Support System Enclave							
SLAC Business Services Enclave							
SLAC Collaboration Enclave							
SLAC Infrastructure Enclave							
SLAC Private Enclave							
SLAC Visitor Enclave							
Southeastern Power Administration Local Area Network							
Southwestern Power Administration Wide Area Network							
SRS-Mission Support							

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
TJNAF Business Administration Enclave							
TJNAF Computing Infrastructure Services Enclave							
TJNAF Public Enclave							
Unix/Linux Plan							

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?

a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?

a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
Active Directory Services	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Ames Admin Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Ames Business Sensitive Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Ames Perimeter Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
ANL Business System	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
ANL General Computing Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
ANL Infrastructure	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
ANL Visitor Zone	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
BNL Admin Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
BNL Network Infrastructure	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			process personal identifying information.		records.
BNL Public Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
BWXT Cyber Security Program Plan (including the Unclassified Services Network)	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
CBC-Cincinnati	No	Yes	http://management.energys.gov/documents/EMCBC_FOIA_PADatabase_PIA.pdf	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
CBC-Springdale	No	Yes	http://management.energys.gov/documents/EMCBC_FOIA_PADatabase_PIA.pdf	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
CBFO	No	Yes	http://management.energys.gov/documents/EMCBC_FOIA_PADatabase_PIA.pdf	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
CH ISC Core	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
Database Administration (Oracle & SQL Server)	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
DOECOE	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
DOE-ID LAN	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
DOEnet	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
ETTP-BS	No	Yes	http://www.oakridge.doe.gov/External/Portals/0/EM4Rev0admin.pdf	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
FE Heating Oil	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
FE/NETL Intranet	No	Yes	http://www.netl.doe.gov/general/privacy_policy.html	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
FE/RMOTC GSS	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
FE/SPRO GSS	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
FE/SPRO Classified	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
FE/SPRO DCS	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Fermi General Computing Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
FEWEB	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Golden IT environment	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			process personal identifying information.		records.
Headquarters AHE - AIX	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Headquarters AHE - Enterprise Server -IBM Mainframe	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Headquarters AHE - HP MPE	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Headquarters AHE - Linux	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Headquarters AHE - Solaris	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Headquarters AHE - Windows	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Headquarters Applix	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Headquarters Email	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Headquarters ePortal	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
INL Business System Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
INL DMZ	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
INL Mission System Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
LAPLAND Core Server System	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
LBNL Research & Operations Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
LLNL Unclassified Site System	No	Yes	No public information is stored , http://www.llnl.gov/disclaimer.html , further information on Privacy of Records is at http://www.llnl.gov/ahrd/PPPM/documents/section_f.pdf	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
LM GSS 01	No	Yes	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
NAS	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
National Renewable Energy Laboratory	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
NE-DM	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
OR ISC IRMD Moderate Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
Oracle	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
ORAU Baseline Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
ORAU Moderate Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
ORNL Administrative Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
ORNL Infrastructure/Business Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
ORNL Open Public	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
OSTI Unclassified Automated Information System (UAIS) Enclave.	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
PKI	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
PNNL Enterprise Services Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
PNNL Extranet Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
PNNL Visitor/Wireless Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
PPPL General Support Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
PPPO	No	Yes	Environmental Management Consolidated Business Center (EMCBC) Accreditation Boundary Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Privacy Act (PA) Database (pdf)	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Red Dot Google (Energy.Gov)	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
RL-Hanford	No	Yes	http://management.energy.gov/documents/RichlandFOIAFolderPIA.pdf	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
RL-RCP	No	Yes	http://management.energy.gov/documents/RichlandFOIAFolderPIA.pdf	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
SC-HQ General Support System Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
SLAC Business Services Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
SLAC Collaboration Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
SLAC Infrastructure Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
SLAC Private Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
SLAC Visitor Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Southeastern Power Administration Local Area Network	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Southwestern Power Administration Wide Area Network	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
SRS-Mission Support	No	Yes	No public information is stored , http://www.srs.gov/general/disclaim.htm#privacy	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
TJNAF Business Administration Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	Yes	http://cio.energy.gov/records-management/adminrs.htm
TJNAF Computing Infrastructure Services Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
TJNAF Public Enclave	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Unix/Linux Plan	No	No	No, because the system does not contain or process personal identifying information.	No	This system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Details for Text Options:					
Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.					
Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.					
Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.					

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes
 a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program is part of Information and

b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture? Yes

a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as Information and Technology Management provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
 Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Asset Cataloging / Identification	AHE Data Center and Cyber Security Services support the listing and specification of available assets.	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Asset Cataloging / Identification			No Reuse	
Asset Transfer, Allocation, and Maintenance	Cyber Security Service supports the movement, assignment, and replacement of assets.	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Asset Transfer, Allocation, and Maintenance			No Reuse	
Computers / Automation Management	AHE Data Center and Cyber Security services support the identification, upgrade, allocation and replacement of physical devices, including servers and desktops, used to facilitate production and process driven activities	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Computers / Automation Management			No Reuse	
Facilities Management	AHE Data Center service supports the construction, management and maintenance of facilities for an organization	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Facilities Management			No Reuse	
Property / Asset Management	AHE Data Center service the set of capabilities that support the identification, planning and allocation of an organization's physical capital and resources (includes hardware and software).	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Property / Asset Management			No Reuse	
Data Cleansing	AHE Data Center service is the set of capabilities that support the removal of incorrect or unnecessary characters and data from a data source.	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Cleansing			No Reuse	
Data Exchange	AHE Data Center service supports the interchange of information between multiple systems or applications; includes verification that transmitted data was received	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Exchange			No Reuse	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:								
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov .								
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	unaltered							
Data Mart	AHE Data Center service is the set of capabilities that support a subset of a data warehouse for a single department or function within an organization.	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Mart			No Reuse	
Data Recovery	AHE Data Center service to periodically record data sets and to restore data sets to previously recorded states.	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Recovery			No Reuse	
Data Warehouse	AHE Data Center service is the set of capabilities that support the archiving and storage of large volumes of data.	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Warehouse			No Reuse	
Extraction and Transformation	AHE Data Center service supports the manipulation and change of data	Back Office Services	Data Management	Extraction and Transformation			No Reuse	
Loading and Archiving	AHE Data Center service to populate a data sink with bulk data in a specific format.	Back Office Services	Data Management	Loading and Archiving			No Reuse	
Meta Data Management	AHE Data Center service supports the maintenance and administration of data that describes data, example activities include sitemaps, content ratings, stream channel definitions, search engine data collection (web crawling), digital library collections, a	Back Office Services	Data Management	Meta Data Management			No Reuse	
Data Integration	AHE Data Center service supports the organization of data from separate data sources into a single source using middleware or application integration as well as the modification of system data models to capture new information within a single system	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Data Integration			No Reuse	
Enterprise Application Integration	AHE Data Center service is the set of capabilities that support the redesigning of disparate information systems into one	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Enterprise Application Integration			No Reuse	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	system that uses a common set of data structures and rules.							
Instrumentation and Testing	AHE Data Center service is the set of capabilities that support the validation of application or system capabilities and requirements.	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Instrumentation and Testing			No Reuse	
Legacy Integration	AHE Data Center service supports the communication between newer generation hardware/software applications and the previous, major generation of hardware/software applications	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Legacy Integration			No Reuse	
Software Development	AHE Data Center service supports the creation of both graphical and process application or system software	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Software Development			No Reuse	
Skills Management	Cyber Security Service supports the proficiency of employees in the delivery of an organization's products or services.	Back Office Services	Human Capital / Workforce Management	Skills Management			No Reuse	
Decision Support and Planning	Cyber Security, Data and Voice Telecommunications, and OA End User Services support the analysis of information and predicts the impact of decisions before they are made.	Business Analytical Services	Business Intelligence	Decision Support and Planning			No Reuse	
Demand Forecasting / Mgmt	AHE Data Center and Data and Voice Telecommunications, OA End User Services predict the storage or processing needs of an organization.	Business Analytical Services	Business Intelligence	Demand Forecasting / Mgmt			No Reuse	
Data Mining	AHE Data Center service provides for the efficient discovery of non-obvious, valuable patterns and relationships within a large collection of data	Business Analytical Services	Knowledge Discovery	Data Mining			No Reuse	
Modeling	AHE Data Center service develops descriptions to adequately explain relevant data for the purpose of prediction,	Business Analytical Services	Knowledge Discovery	Modeling			No Reuse	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	pattern detection, exploration or general organization of data							
Ad Hoc	AHE Data Center and Cyber Security Service supports the use of dynamic reports on an as needed basis	Business Analytical Services	Reporting	Ad Hoc			No Reuse	
OLAP	AHE Data Center and Cyber Security Services support On Line Analytical Processing, the analysis of information that has been summarized into multidimensional views and hierarchies.	Business Analytical Services	Reporting	OLAP			No Reuse	
Standardized / Canned	AHE Data Center and Cyber Security services support the use of pre-conceived or pre-written reports	Business Analytical Services	Reporting	Standardized / Canned			No Reuse	
Performance Management	Consolidated Infrastructure IOI Governance service is the set of capabilities for measuring the effectiveness of an organization's financial assets and capital.	Business Management Services	Investment Management	Performance Management			No Reuse	
Portfolio Management	AHE Data Center and Consolidated Infrastructure IOI Governance services support the administration of a group of investments held by an organization.	Business Management Services	Investment Management	Portfolio Management			No Reuse	
Strategic Planning and Mgmt	Consolidated Infrastructure IOI Governance service is the set of capabilities that support the determination of long-term goals and the identification of the best approach for achieving those goals.	Business Management Services	Investment Management	Strategic Planning and Mgmt			No Reuse	
Business Rule Management	AHE Data Center and Cyber Security services manage the enterprise processes that support an organization and its policies	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Business Rule Management			No Reuse	
Change Management	AHE Data Center service controls the process for	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Change Management			No Reuse	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:								
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov .								
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	updates or modifications to the existing documents, software or business processes of an organization							
Configuration Management	AHE Data Center and Cyber Security Service controls the hardware and software environments, as well as documents of an organization.	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Configuration Management			No Reuse	
Governance / Policy Management	Cyber Security Service is the set of capabilities intended to influence and determine decisions, actions, business rules and other matters within an organization.	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Governance / Policy Management			Internal	
Program / Project Management	AHE Data Center service manages and controls a particular effort of an organization	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Program / Project Management			No Reuse	
Quality Management	AHE Data Center service helps determine the level that a product or service satisfies certain requirements / service levels	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Quality Management			No Reuse	
Requirements Management	AHE Data Center and Cyber Security services manage the set of capabilities for gathering, analyzing and fulfilling the needs and prerequisites of an organization's efforts.	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Requirements Management			No Reuse	
Risk Management	AHE Data Center and Cyber Security Services support the identification and probabilities or chances of hazards as they relate to a task, decision or long-term goal.	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Risk Management			No Reuse	
Scheduling	AHE Data Center service supports the plan for performing work or service to meet the needs of an organization's customers	Customer Services	Customer Initiated Assistance	Scheduling			No Reuse	
Alerts and Notifications	AHE Data Center service allows a customer to be contacted in relation to a	Customer Services	Customer Preferences	Alerts and Notifications			No Reuse	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	subscription or service of interest							
Content Publishing and Delivery	AHE Data Center service to publish information in electronic formats.	Digital Asset Services	Content Management	Content Publishing and Delivery			No Reuse	
Document Imaging and OCR	OA End User Service is the set of capabilities that support the scanning of physical documents for use electronically.	Digital Asset Services	Document Management	Document Imaging and OCR			No Reuse	
Indexing	AHE Data Center service supports the rapid retrieval of documents through a structured numbering construct	Digital Asset Services	Document Management	Indexing			No Reuse	
Library / Storage	AHE Data Center service is the set of capabilities that support document and data warehousing and archiving.	Digital Asset Services	Document Management	Library / Storage			No Reuse	
Information Mapping / Taxonomy	AHE Data Center service supports the creation and maintenance of relationships between data entities, naming standards and categorization	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Information Mapping / Taxonomy			No Reuse	
Information Retrieval	AHE Data Center and EC End User Service is the set of capabilities that allow access to data and information for use by an organization and its stakeholders.	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval			No Reuse	
Information Sharing	OA End User Service is the set of capabilities that support the use of documents and data in a multi-user environment for use by an organization and its stakeholders.	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing			No Reuse	
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Cyber Security and OA End User Service is the set of capabilities that support the transfer of knowledge to the end customer.	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Distribution and Delivery			No Reuse	
Smart Documents	OA End User Service is the interaction of	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Smart Documents			No Reuse	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	information and process (business logic) rules between users of the document. (i.e. the logic and use of the document is embedded within the document itself and is managed within the document parameters).							
Process Tracking	AHE Data Center service allows the monitoring of activities within the business cycle	Process Automation Services	Tracking and Workflow	Process Tracking			No Reuse	
Email	AHE Data Center and EC End User Service is the set of capabilities that support the transmission of memos and messages over a network.	Support Services	Collaboration	Email			No Reuse	
Presence Management	EC End User Service is a point of access to a subscriber's network-wide mobility, independent of the network and devices through which the user is connected, in order to enable the seamless delivery of services.	Support Services	Collaboration	NEW			No Reuse	
Formal Conferencing	EC End User Service is a prearranged meeting for consultation or exchange of information or discussion (especially one with a formal agenda)	Support Services	Collaboration	NEW			No Reuse	
Voice Mail	EC End User Service is the set of capabilities that support the transmission of voice messages over a network.	Support Services	Collaboration	NEW			No Reuse	
Webcasts	EC End User Service is transmission across the Internet to broadcast live or delayed audio and/or video transmissions, much like traditional television and radio broadcasts.	Support Services	Collaboration	NEW			No Reuse	
Shared Calendaring	AHE Data Center and EC End User Service is the	Support Services	Collaboration	Shared Calendaring			No Reuse	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:								
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov .								
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	set of capabilities that allow an entire team as well as individuals to view, add and modify each other's schedules, meetings and activities.							
Task Management	AHE Data Center and EC End User service is the set of capabilities that support a specific undertaking or function assigned to an employee.	Support Services	Collaboration	Task Management			No Reuse	
Threaded Discussions	AHE Data Center and EC End User Service is the set of capabilities that support the running log of remarks and opinions about a given topic or subject.	Support Services	Collaboration	Threaded Discussions			No Reuse	
Audio Conferencing	Voice Telecommunications Service is the set of capabilities that support audio communications sessions among people who are geographically dispersed.	Support Services	Communication	Audio Conferencing			No Reuse	
Community Management	Data Telecommunications Service is the set of capabilities that support the administration of online groups that share common interests.	Support Services	Communication	Community Management			No Reuse	
Computer / Telephony Integration	Data and Voice Telecommunications Service is the set of capabilities that support the connectivity between server hardware, software and Data and Voice Telecommunications equipment into a single logical system.	Support Services	Communication	Computer / Telephony Integration			No Reuse	
Event / News Management	AHE Data Center, Data Telecommunications, and EC End User services monitor servers, workstations and network devices for routine and non-routine events.	Support Services	Communication	Event / News Management			No Reuse	
Instant Messaging	AHE Data Center and Data	Support Services	Communication	Instant Messaging			No Reuse	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:								
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov .								
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	Telecommunications services supporting text messaging between two or more people over a network.							
Real Time / Chat	Data Telecommunications Service is the set of capabilities that support the conferencing capability between two or more users on a local area network or the internet.	Support Services	Communication	Real Time / Chat			No Reuse	
Video Conferencing	Data Telecommunications Service is the set of capabilities that support video communications sessions among people who are geographically dispersed.	Support Services	Communication	Video Conferencing			No Reuse	
Voice Communications	Voice Telecommunications Service is telephony or other voice communications.	Support Services	Communication	Voice Communications			No Reuse	
Classification	AHE Data Center service to organize records by shared characteristics in content or context.	Support Services	Search	Classification			No Reuse	
Pattern Matching	AHE Data Center service to impute characteristics to records based on patterns in content or context.	Support Services	Search	Pattern Matching			No Reuse	
Precision / Recall Ranking	AHE Data Center service to rank records by query criteria.	Support Services	Search	Precision / Recall Ranking			No Reuse	
Query	AHE Data Center service supports retrieval of records that satisfy specific query	Support Services	Search	Query			No Reuse	
Issue Tracking	OA End User Service is receiving and tracking user-reported issues and problems in using IT systems, including help desk calls.	Support Services	Systems Management	Issue Tracking			No Reuse	
License Management	AHE Data Center, Data Telecommunications, and OA End User Services support the purchase, upgrade and tracking of legal	Support Services	Systems Management	License Management			No Reuse	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:								
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov .								
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	usage contracts for system software and applications.							
Continuity and Disaster Recovery Management	AHE Data Center, Data and Voice Telecommunications, and EC End User services implement documented recovery procedures that allow resumption of business operations as expediently and economically as required.	Support Services	Systems Management	NEW			No Reuse	
Policy Management	Data and Voice Telecommunications and OA End User Services influence and determine decisions, actions, business rules and other matters within an organization.	Support Services	Systems Management	NEW			No Reuse	
User Management	AHE Data Center, Cyber Security, Data and Voice Telecommunications, and OA End User Service is the set of capabilities that support the administration of computer, application and network accounts within an organization.	Support Services	Systems Management	NEW			No Reuse	
Service Level Management	Data and Voice Telecommunications and OA End User Services support the balance and allocation of memory, usage, disk space and performance on computers and their applications.	Support Services	Systems Management	NEW			No Reuse	
Remote Systems Control	AHE Data Center, Data Telecommunications, and OA End User Service support the monitoring, administration and usage of applications and enterprise systems from locations outside of the immediate system environment.	Support Services	Systems Management	Remote Systems Control			No Reuse	
Software Distribution	Data Telecommunications and OA End User Service is the set of capabilities that support the	Support Services	Systems Management	Software Distribution			No Reuse	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:								
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov .								
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	propagation, installation and upgrade of written computer programs, applications and components.							
System Resource Monitoring	AHE Data Center, Data and Voice Telecommunications, OA End User Service is the set of capabilities that support the balance and allocation of memory, usage, disk space and performance on computers and their applications.	Support Services	Systems Management	System Resource Monitoring			No Reuse	

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:				
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.				
FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Governance / Policy Management	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
OLAP	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
Classification	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
Precision / Recall Ranking	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
Information Mapping / Taxonomy	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
Asset Cataloging / Identification	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Issue Tracking	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Smart Documents	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Content Rendering	
Ad Hoc	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	
Alerts and Notifications	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	
Standardized / Canned	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Voice Communications	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Wireless / Mobile / Voice	
Digital Signature Management	Component Framework	Security	Certificates / Digital Signatures	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
Email	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration /	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:

To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
			Communications	
Community Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
Real Time / Chat	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
Audio Conferencing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
Indexing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	
Document Imaging and OCR	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	
Event / News Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Instant Messaging	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Peer to Peer (P2P)	
Content Publishing and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
NEW	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	
Computer / Telephony Integration	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	
Configuration Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Process Tracking	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Property / Asset Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Loading and Archiving	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Software Distribution	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Program / Project Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Portfolio Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Strategic Planning and Mgmt	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Risk Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Quality Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Requirements Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Scheduling	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Facilities Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Task Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Legacy Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Enterprise Application Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Incident Response	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
NEW	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Performance Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:				
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.				
FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Business Rule Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Data Recovery	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
System Resource Monitoring	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Data Mart	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Demand Forecasting / Mgmt	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Data Warehouse	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Data Cleansing	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Data Mining	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Data Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Decision Support and Planning	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Shared Calendaring	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface	
Threaded Discussions	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Transformation	
Asset Transfer, Allocation, and Maintenance	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	
Query	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Modeling	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Meta Data Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Library / Storage	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Skills Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Portal Servers	
Video Conferencing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Video Conferencing	
Software Development	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
License Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Change Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Instrumentation and Testing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)? Yes

a. If "yes," please describe.

DOE consolidation of Infrastructure with initiatives such as IT A76, the expansion by the OCIO of DOE Common Operating Environment (COE): A listing of components (hardware and software) that captures the concept of a common or shared operating environment across an enterprise or organization, and the implementation of MPLS protocols and networking for DOENET provide a basis for addressing the following initiatives that are agency cross-cutting.

Infrastructure Optimization Initiative (IOI) Making Progress

The IOI Task Force (under Managing Partner Von Harrison, GSA) established the scope, vision and goals for the project focused on improving cost efficiency and service performance for IT infrastructure. The IOI started with five areas: data centers, voice networks, data networks, help desks and desktop management. The task force has since combined the five areas into three: data centers, networks, and end user systems and services. End User Systems and Services is the initial IOI Service Line to be analyzed for performance benchmarking across Federal Agencies. By defining peer industry performance targets and then compiling federal

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)
agency performance measures the cost and service level effectiveness will be examined for optimization opportunities. The central thrust drives agencies to improve infrastructure service levels and achieve higher cost efficiencies through standardization and other proven best practices. DOE Service Lines and the COE provide a framework to leverage for integration of IOI efforts.
IPv6 is supported by MPLS as the backbone for DOENET as of January, 2006

On August 2, 2005, the OMB Office of E-Gov and IT issued OMB Memorandum 05-22, "Transition Planning for Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6)," directing all Federal government agencies to transition their network backbones to the next generation of the Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6), by June 30, 2008. The memorandum identifies several key milestones and requirements for all Federal government agencies in support of the June 30, 2008 target date.
IPv6 over MPLS backbones enables isolated IPv6 domains to communicate with each other over an MPLS IPv4 core network. This implementation requires only a few backbone infrastructure upgrades and no reconfiguration of core routers because forwarding is based on labels rather than the IP header itself, providing a very cost-effective strategy for the deployment of IPv6.

Exhibit 300: Part II: Planning, Acquisition and Performance Information

Section A: Alternatives Analysis (All Capital Assets)

Part II should be completed only for investments identified as "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed? 4/16/2007
 - b. If "no," what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?
 - c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

2. Alternative Analysis Results:			* Costs in millions
Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:			
Alternative Analyzed	Description of Alternative	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Costs estimate	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits estimate

3. Which alternative was selected by the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee and why was it chosen?

The Optimized Service Delivery Alternative was selected and reported to the IT Council by the Infrastructure IPT. Analysis conducted in FY 2007 examined alternatives by Infrastructure Service Line. As each Service Line analysis is conducted the Program Manager and the Infrastructure Integrated Project Team review recommended alternatives. Each Infrastructure Service Line analysis was examined with a focus on the baseline of Infrastructure Services to be consistent with IT A76 requirements for infrastructure services. The IPT in reviews recommended a Common Solution across service lines be examined at the conclusion of the individual Service Lines to clearly understand how the integrated infrastructure would establish a new services baseline. Cyber Security was recommended to be focused on Common Infrastructure Management, Operations, and Technology Security Controls / Services. AHE was recommended for consolidation using Utility Computing Technologies and Virtual Server Migration solutions. Office Automation is Thin Client / Diskless Desktops with Software Distribution Utility Computing Servers. Enterprise Collaboration is recommending a converged solution that spans voice, data, web, and video channels for collaborative services. In the first quarter of FY 2007 analysis integrated infrastructure service lines to define a target infrastructure service baseline. This baseline will be enhanced to assure linkage to the Infrastructure Optimization Initiative (IOI) benchmarks. The baseline should also provide a basis for monitoring service levels as they exist and solution migration improvements. FY 2008 will be spent refining the baseline for IT A76, and interfacing with IOI benchmark development.

4. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?

Establishing a clearly understood Infrastructure Baseline/Benchmark. Because stakeholder buy-in is such a significant risk in consolidation of infrastructure, it must be noted that the ability to effectively and completely educate stakeholders holds the highest priority. Given the volume and the proximity of stakeholders to be trained nationwide with the ~15,000 A76 employees: the employee and infrastructure data have been identified throughout the A76 process DOE has completed. This baseline will provide a clear set of service level standards for understanding as M&O sites adopt common solutions in laboratories.

DOE's IT Vision aims to affect governance and processes in order to provide access to modern, reliable, and secure IT infrastructure and systems to support and enhance DOE's mission in the 21st century. The Department of Energy IT vision is based on principles of modernization, reliability, and security. The IT strategic goals are balanced to reflect these principles, noting three basic requirements: simple access, effective management, and strengthened security. This Consolidated IOAT is integral in supporting DOE's IT Vision. The Consolidated Infrastructure optimized service delivery supports and furthers the IT vision.

5. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part No or in-whole?

- a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment.
- b. If "yes," please provide the following information:

List of Legacy Investment or Systems		
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	UPI if available	Date of the System Retirement

Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

- 1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes

 - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 8/31/2006
 - b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? Yes
 - c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

With the approval of the risk plan in August of 2006, the assessment of risks has been critical to define an approach that will generate the program stability needed to deliver Consolidated Infrastructure services. Risk is a forward looking management process focused on early management of events that critically affect infrastructure cost, capability, or readiness. Continuity of Operations and Disaster Recovery plans are a means to develop affordable solutions that mitigate risk and assure IT Infrastructure operations' integrity. Risks are managed on an on-going basis.

As part of the Cost /Benefit analysis process, risk assessments provide early recognition and planning for risks. Good planning ensures an organized, comprehensive, and iterative approach for identifying and assessing risks and handling options necessary. The Cost Benefit Analysis becomes a baseline for use in a continuous risk management process. Risks are understood, taken, and managed in order to achieve cost, schedule, and infrastructure service performance objectives. Each stage of the life cycle of IT Infrastructure is a risk event assessed for cost, capability, and readiness impacts. Continuous monitoring of technology solutions is critical to assess opportunities to mitigate known risks and to improve capability and cost performance.

The assessments begin with initial Consolidated Infrastructure cost and benefit analysis and continue to be updated as risks in each phase of the life cycle are identified, analyzed, evaluated, and mitigated.

In FY2007 initial assessments were used to develop Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA's). Performance metrics become a critical benchmark in measuring capability. Consolidated Infrastructure is a program focused on optimizing service delivery by leveraging the benchmark programs being implemented in FY 2008 by the IT Infrastructure Line of Business. In FY 2008 Cost and service level performance will be examined to form a baseline across Department of Energy. The metrics will be integrated into the risk management process as performance improvement targets are identified to assure objectives are set early and then all aspects of the Consolidated Infrastructure are managed to achieve those objectives, while still meeting the user's performance and schedule need

- 2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?
 - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?
 - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

- 3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

In each IOAT Service Line a full life cycle analysis is made of the total cost of operating each consolidated alternative deemed feasible. Each alternative is evaluated and reviewed for risk exposures. The following areas of risk are examined at the DOE enterprise level: Organizational and Change Management; Project Resources; Business; Data/Information; Technology; Strategic; Privacy; Security; Schedule; Legal/Contractual.

Each of the risks are scored based on specific criteria such as: Extent to which customers and stakeholders have been identified and included in the change process. Also examined are courses of action needed to mitigate the risk. Based on the risk analysis findings, cost and schedule adjustments are made to mitigate potential impacts of these risks. Each Service Line was analyzed for business risks as part of the Cost Benefit Analysis. A completed analysis for the Integrated Infrastructure Service Baseline was presented at the DOE Cyber Security Conference, 2007 . Where possible, the ability to phase in enterprise maturity levels across the broad stakeholder base of DOE will be addressed to ensure access to modern, reliable, and secure IT infrastructure. Leveraging the individual Service Line CBAs. It is this baseline combined with benchmark analysis of the IT Infrastructure that will provide risk inputs to a five year Infrastructure Optimization Plan currently scheduled to be submitted in the second quarter of FY 2008. The focus of this plan is to be assuring IT Infrastructure service efficiency and effectiveness across the IT service life cycle.

Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

EVM is required only on DME portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included in the table (Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline). This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, as well as milestones in the current baseline.

- 1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard-748? No
- 2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than +/- 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100) No
 - a. If "yes," was it the CV or SV or both?

b. If "yes," explain the causes of the variance:

c. If "yes," describe the corrective actions:

3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year? Yes

a. If "yes," when was it approved by the agency head?

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline		Current Baseline				Current Baseline Variance		Percent Complete
		Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost (\$M) Estimated	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total Cost (\$M)		Schedule (# days)	Cost (\$M)	
				Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual			
10	FY 2005 and Prior DME	9/30/2005	\$58.642	9/30/2005	9/30/2005	\$58.642	\$58.542	0	\$0.1	100%
11	FY 2005 and Prior O&M	9/30/2005	\$991.731	9/30/2005	9/30/2005	\$991.731	\$991.731	0	\$0	100%
12	FY 2005 C300 BY07	9/30/2005	\$0.075	9/30/2005	9/30/2005	\$0.075	\$0.04	0	\$0.035	100%
13	FY 2005 CBA Telecommunications and Networks (Document)	12/13/2004	\$0.225	12/13/2004	12/13/2004	\$0.225	\$0.225	0	\$0	100%
20	FY 2006 DME	9/30/2006	\$45.476	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$45.476	\$45.476	0	\$0	100%
21	FY 2006 O&M	9/30/2006	\$845.676	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$845.676	\$845.676	0	\$0	100%
22	FY 2006 C300 BY08	9/30/2006	\$0.05	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$0.05	\$0.05	0	\$0	100%
23	FY 2006 IT A76 Startup - Transition	9/30/2006	\$75	9/30/2006	9/30/2006	\$75	\$75	0	\$0	100%
24	FY 2006 CBA - Service Line Analysis	9/30/2006	\$1	9/30/2006	11/15/2005	\$1	\$0.786	319	\$0.214	100%
1C1	CBA - Application Hosting Environment	8/16/2005	\$0.2	11/15/2005	11/15/2005	\$0.2	\$0.16	0	\$0.04	100%
1C2	CBA - Cyber Security	9/30/2005	\$0.2	9/30/2005	9/30/2005	\$0.2	\$0.15	0	\$0.05	100%
1C3	CBA Office Automation	8/15/2006	\$0.2	9/30/2006		\$0.2	\$0.199		\$0.001	100%
1C4	CBA Voice Networks	8/31/2006	\$0.2	9/30/2006		\$0.2	\$0.161		\$0.039	100%
1C5	CBA Enterprise Collaboration	9/30/2006	\$0.2	9/30/2006		\$0.2	\$0.116		\$0.084	100%
30	FY 2007 DME IT	9/30/2007	\$7.275	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$7.275	\$7.275	0	\$0	100%

Exhibit 300: Consolidated Infrastructure, Office Automation, and Telecommunications Program (Revision 13)

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline		Current Baseline				Current Baseline Variance		Percent Complete
		Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost (\$M) Estimated	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total Cost (\$M)		Schedule (# days)	Cost (\$M)	
				Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual			
	A76									
31	FY 2007 DME from M&O contracts	9/30/2007	\$43.646	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$43.646	\$43.646	0	\$0	100%
32	FY 2007 O&M IT A76	9/30/2007	\$60.335	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$60.335	\$60.335	0	\$0	100%
33	FY 2007 O&M from M&O contracts	9/30/2007	\$1019.643	9/30/2007	9/30/2007	\$1019.643	\$1019.643	0	\$0	100%
34	FY 2007 Update BY08 C300 and integrate Service Line Analysis to define a performance baseline	1/15/2007	\$0.36	3/31/2007	3/31/2007	\$0.36	\$0.36	0	\$0	100%